



Second International Conference on Turbulence and Interactions

31 May - 5 June 2009, Sainte-Luce, Martinique, France

Parameter-free symmetry-preserving regularization modelling of turbulent natural convection flows

R.W.C.P. Verstappen^{*}, F.X. Trias^{*,*}, M. Soria^{*} and A. Oliva^{*}

*Institute of Mathematics and Computing Science, University of Groningen
P.O. Box 800, 9700 AV Groningen, The Netherlands, E-mail: R.W.C.P.Verstappen@rug.nl
*Centre Tecnològic de Transferència de Calor (CTTC), Technical University of Catalonia

C/ Colom 11, 08222 Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain, E-mail: cttc@cttc.upc.edu





Presentation outline

1. Introduction

- Problem definition: Differentially Heated Cavity
- DNS results for $Ra = 10^{11}$, Pr = 0.71
- Governing equations

2. Regularization models for the simulation of turbulence

- Existing regularization: Leray and Navier-Stokes- α models
- Symmetry-preserving regularization models
- Mathematical foundation
- Discretizing the C_n regularization modelling
- 3. Results for a Differentially Heated Cavity
 - Description of cases
 - Initial test: trial-and-error
 - Parameter-free approach
 - ★ Comparison with convergence studies
 - ★ Mean fields
- 4. Conclusions and Future Research





Problem definition: Differentially Heated Cavity



Boundary conditions:

- Isothermal vertical walls
- Adiabatic horizontal walls
- **Periodic** boundary conditions in the *x*-direction, orthogonal to the main flow

Dimensionless governing numbers:

•
$$Ra_z = \frac{\beta \Delta T L_z^3 g}{\alpha \nu}$$

- $Pr = \frac{\nu}{\alpha}$
- Height aspect ratio $A_z = \frac{L_z}{L_y}$
- Depth aspect ratio $A_x = \frac{L_x}{L_y}$





DNS results for $Ra = 10^{11}$, Pr = 0.71



Some details about **DNS simulations**:

- Mesh size: $128 \times 682 \times 1278$
- Computing Time: pprox 3 months 256 CPUs
- 4th-order symmetry-preserving discretization
- $A_z = 4$

Complexity of the flow:

- Boundary layers
- Stratified cavity core
- Internal waves
- Recirculation areas





Governing equations

Incompressible Navier-Stokes coupled with energy transport equation:

 $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ $\partial_t u + \mathcal{C}(u, u) = Pr\mathcal{D}(u) - \nabla p + f$ $\partial_t T + \mathcal{C}(u, T) = \mathcal{D}(T)$

where f = (0, 0, RaPrT) (Boussinesq approximation) and the **nonlinear convective term** is given by

$$\mathcal{C}(u,v) = (u \cdot \nabla)v$$

and the linear dissipative term is given by

$$\mathcal{D}(u) = rac{1}{Ra^{0.5}}
abla^2 u$$





Regularization modelling

As the full energy spectrum cannot be computed, a **dynamically less complex mathematical formulation** is sought. We consider smooth approximations (regularizations) of the nonlinearity,

$$\partial_t u_{\epsilon} + \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(u_{\epsilon}, u_{\epsilon}) = Pr\mathcal{D}(u_{\epsilon}) - \nabla p_{\epsilon} + f$$
$$\partial_t T_{\epsilon} + \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(u_{\epsilon}, T_{\epsilon}) = \mathcal{D}(T_{\epsilon})$$

such approximations may fall in the Large-Eddy Simulation (LES) concept,

$$\partial_t \bar{u}_{\epsilon} + \mathcal{C}(\bar{u}_{\epsilon}, \bar{u}_{\epsilon}) = Pr\mathcal{D}(\bar{u}_{\epsilon}) - \nabla \bar{p}_{\epsilon} + f + \mathcal{M}_1(\bar{u}_{\epsilon}, \bar{u}_{\epsilon})$$
$$\partial_t \overline{T}_{\epsilon} + \mathcal{C}(\bar{u}_{\epsilon}, \overline{T}_{\epsilon}) = \mathcal{D}(\overline{T}_{\epsilon}) + \mathcal{M}_2(\bar{u}_{\epsilon}, \overline{T}_{\epsilon})$$

if the filter is invertible:

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_1(ar{u}_\epsilon,ar{u}_\epsilon) &= \mathcal{C}(ar{u}_\epsilon,ar{u}_\epsilon) - \overline{\widetilde{C}(u_\epsilon,u_\epsilon)} \ \mathcal{M}_2(ar{u}_\epsilon,\overline{T}_\epsilon) &= \mathcal{C}(ar{u}_\epsilon,\overline{T}_\epsilon) - \overline{\widetilde{C}(u_\epsilon,T_\epsilon)} \end{aligned}$$





Previous regularization modellings

Leray and Navier-Stokes- α models

The regularization methods basically **alters the convective term** to **restrain the production of small scales** of motion.

• Leray model:

$$\partial_t u_\epsilon + \mathcal{C}(\bar{u}_\epsilon, u_\epsilon) = \mathcal{D}(u_\epsilon) - \nabla p_\epsilon$$

• Navier-Stokes- α model:

$$\partial_t u_\epsilon + \mathcal{C}_r(u_\epsilon, \bar{u}_\epsilon) = \mathcal{D}(u_\epsilon) -
abla \pi_\epsilon$$

where the $\pi = p + u^2/2$ and the convective operator in rotational form is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_r(u,v) = (\nabla \times u) \times v$$

However, in doing so some of the **inviscid invariants** (kinetic energy, enstrophy in 2D and helicity in 3D) are **not conserved**.





Symmetry-preserving regularization models (1/2)

In order to conserve the following inviscid invariants

• Kinetic energy

 $\int_{\Omega} oldsymbol{u} \cdot oldsymbol{u} d\Omega$ $\int_{\Omega} (
abla imes oldsymbol{u}) \cdot (
abla imes oldsymbol{u}) d\Omega$

• Helicity (in 3D)

Enstrophy (in 2D)

 $\int_{\Omega} (
abla imes oldsymbol{u}) \cdot oldsymbol{u} d\Omega$

the approximate convective operator has to be skew-symmetric:

$$\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(u,v),w\right) = -\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(u,w),v\right)$$





Symmetry-preserving regularization models (2/2)

This criterion yields the following class of approximations,

$$\partial_t u_\epsilon + \mathcal{C}_n(u_\epsilon, u_\epsilon) = \mathcal{D}(u_\epsilon) - \nabla p_\epsilon$$

in which the convective term in smoothened according to:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_2(u,v) &= \overline{\mathcal{C}(\bar{u},\bar{v})} \\ \mathcal{C}_4(u,v) &= \mathcal{C}(\bar{u},\bar{v}) + \overline{\mathcal{C}(\bar{u},v')} + \overline{\mathcal{C}(u',\bar{v})} \\ \mathcal{C}_6(u,v) &= \mathcal{C}(\bar{u},\bar{v}) + \mathcal{C}(\bar{u},v') + \mathcal{C}(u',\bar{v}) + \overline{\mathcal{C}(u',v')} \end{aligned}$$

where $u' = u - \bar{u}$ and $C_n(u, v) = C(u, v) + O(\epsilon^n)$ for any symmetric filter.





Mathematical foundation

Energy flux equation for the symmetry-preserving regularization resembles the NS

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left|u_{kk'}\right|^{2}+\nu\left|\nabla u_{kk'}\right|^{2}=\widetilde{T}_{k}-\widetilde{T}_{k'}\quad\longrightarrow\quad\nu<\left|\nabla u_{kk'}\right|^{2}>=<\widetilde{T}_{k}>-<\widetilde{T}_{k'}>$$

 \implies Following the same steps as Foias *et al.* (2001)

- $< \widetilde{T}_k >$ is a nonnegative, monotone decreasing function.
- $< \widetilde{T}_k >$ is approximately constant for $k_a < k < k_b$ (existence of inertial range).

 $\implies -5/3$ scaling !!!







LES-interpretation of C_4 -regularization

$$\partial_t \bar{u}_{\epsilon} + \mathcal{C}(\bar{u}_{\epsilon}, \bar{u}_{\epsilon}) - \mathcal{D}(\bar{u}_{\epsilon}) + \nabla \bar{p}_{\epsilon} =$$

$${\cal C}(ar u_\epsilon,ar u_\epsilon)-\overline{{\cal C}_4(u_\epsilon,u_\epsilon)} ~=~$$

$$-\frac{\epsilon^2}{12} \nabla \cdot (\nabla \bar{u}_{\epsilon} : \nabla \bar{u}_{\epsilon}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)$$

gradient model + stabilization





Discretizing the C_n regularization modelling

• The discretization is also a regularization. The **spatial discretization** method preserves the symmetry and conservation properties too

$$\Omega_s rac{doldsymbol{u}_s}{dt} + \mathsf{C}\left(oldsymbol{u}_s
ight)oldsymbol{u}_s + \mathsf{D}oldsymbol{u}_s + \Omega_s \mathsf{G}oldsymbol{p}_c = oldsymbol{0}_s \qquad ext{with} \quad \mathsf{C}\left(oldsymbol{u}_s
ight) = -\mathsf{C}^*\left(oldsymbol{u}_s
ight)$$

and is therefore well-suited to test the proposed regularization model.

• A normalized self-adjoint filter has been chosen. In 1D it becomes

$$\overline{\phi}_{i} = \frac{\epsilon^{4} - 4\epsilon^{2}}{1152} \left(\phi_{i+2} + \phi_{i-2}\right) + \frac{16\epsilon^{2} - \epsilon^{4}}{288} \left(\phi_{i+1} + \phi_{i-1}\right) + \frac{\epsilon^{4} - 20\epsilon^{2} + 192}{192} \phi_{i}$$



rijksuniversiteit groningen

Results for differentially heated cavity at $Ra = 10^{11}$

- Regularization model C_4 is tested.
- Two coarse meshes are considered

	DNS	RM1	RM2
Nx	128	12	8
Ny	682	45	30
Nz	1278	85	56
Δx_{min}	$3.79 imes 10^{-3}$	4.16×10^{-2}	$6.25 imes10^{-2}$
Δy_{min}	$2.16 imes 10^{-4}$	$3.27 imes 10^{-3}$	$4.91 imes 10^{-3}$
Δz_{min}	$3.13 imes 10^{-3}$	4.71×10^{-2}	$7.14 imes 10^{-2}$

• Initial test: ratio ϵ/h (filter length to the average grid width) is kept constant in all three spatial directions.





Results for differentially heated cavity at $Ra = 10^{11}$

Convergence studies



The maximum of the averaged vertical velocity at the horizontal mid-height plane and the overall averaged Nusselt number as a function of the ratio of the filter length ϵ to the average grid width h.

\implies A weak dependance for sufficiently large values of ϵ is observed

Nusselt





Same behaviour has also been observed at different *Ra*-numbers!

Convergence studies at $Ra = 10^{10}$



The maximum of the averaged vertical velocity at the horizontal mid-height plane and the overall averaged Nusselt number as a function of the ratio of the filter length ϵ to the average grid width h.

 \implies A weak dependance for sufficiently large values of ϵ is observed

Nusselt





Parameter-free approach







Parameter-free approach

The vortex-stretching and dissipation term contributions to $(1/|\omega|^2)\partial_t |\omega|^2$ are given by

$$\frac{\omega \cdot \mathcal{C}(\omega, u)}{\omega \cdot \omega} = \frac{\omega \cdot \mathcal{S}(u) \, \omega}{\omega \cdot \omega} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{Re} \frac{\nabla \omega : \nabla \omega}{\omega \cdot \omega}$$

At the smallest grid scale, $k = \pi/h$, convection may dominate diffusion

$$\frac{\omega_{k} \cdot \mathcal{C} (\omega, u)_{k}}{\omega_{k} \cdot \omega_{k}} > \frac{1}{Re} k^{2}$$

 \implies In the present work we **determine the filter width** ϵ from

$$\frac{\omega_k \cdot \mathcal{C}_4 \left(\omega, u\right)_k}{\omega_k \cdot \omega_k} \quad \approx \quad \frac{1}{Re} k^2$$





Parameter-free approach

Note that $C_4(u, v)$ depends on the filter length ϵ . For the smallest scale this dependence becomes

$$\frac{\omega_k \cdot \mathcal{C}_4 (\omega, u)_k}{\omega_k \cdot \omega_k} \approx f_4 (\hat{g}_k(\epsilon)) \frac{\omega_k \cdot \mathcal{S} (u) \omega_k}{\omega_k \cdot \omega_k} \leq f_4 (\hat{g}_k(\epsilon)) \frac{\lambda_{max}}{(\delta)} (\mathcal{S})$$

where $0 < \hat{g}_k(\epsilon) \le 1$ is the transfer function of the filter and the damping function $0 < f_4 \le 1$.

 \implies Therefore, it suffices that following inequality be **locally** hold

$$f_4\left(\hat{g}_k(\epsilon)\right) \leq \frac{1}{Re} \frac{k^2}{\lambda_{max}\left(\mathcal{S}\right)} \longrightarrow \epsilon$$

to guarantee that the **production of smaller scales of motion be stopped at the smallest scale** set by the mesh.





Results for differentially heated cavity at $Ra = 10^{11}$

Free-parameter approach



The maximum of the averaged vertical velocity at the horizontal mid-height plane and the overall averaged Nusselt number as a function of the ratio of the filter length ϵ to the average grid width h.





Results for differentially heated cavity at $Ra = 10^{11}$ Profiles



Averaged vertical velocity and temperature profiles at the horizontal mid-height plane.





How does the parameter-free \widetilde{C}_4 symmetry-preserving regularization modelling behave for other grids and Ra-numbers?



Averaged vertical velocity and temperature profiles at the horizontal mid-height plane at $Ra = 10^{10}$.

Even for a very coarse $8 \times 13 \times 30$ grid reasonable results are being obtained!

 \implies Results for different grids show the **robustness** of the method.





A challenging test: mesh independence analysis at very coarse grids



The overall Nusselt number and the centerline stratification for 50 randomly generated coarse grids with fixed stretching at $Ra = 10^{10}$. $8 \le N_x \le 16$, $17 \le N_y \le 34$, and $40 \le N_z \le 80$.





Conclusions and Future Research

The results shown illustrate the potential of the conservative parameter-free \widetilde{C}_4 smoothing as a new simulation shortcut.

The main advantages with respect exiting LES models can be summarized:

- **Robustnest**. As the smoothed governing equations preserve the symmetry properties of the original Navier-Stokes equations the solution cannot blow up (in the energy-norm, in 2D also: enstrophy-norm). It seems that even for very coarse meshes reasonably results can be obtained.
- Universality. No *ad hoc* phenomenological arguments that can not be formally derived for the Navier-Stokes equations are used.
- The proposed method constitutes a **parameter-free turbulence model**.

Since now, the method has been **successfully tested** on completely different turbulent configurations such as:

- Channel flow.
- Flow around a wall-mounted cube.
- **Differentially heated cavity** at different *Ra*-numbers.





Thank you for you attention







Discretization of the convective operator: a symmetry-preserving discretization

The spatially discrete incompressible Navier-Stokes equations are expressed as

$$\Omega_s \frac{d\boldsymbol{u}_s}{dt} + \mathsf{C} (\boldsymbol{u}_s) \boldsymbol{u}_s + \mathsf{D} \boldsymbol{u}_s + \Omega_s \mathsf{G} \boldsymbol{p}_c = \boldsymbol{0}_s$$
$$\mathsf{M} \boldsymbol{u}_s = \boldsymbol{0}_c$$

 \implies It was shown that the **convective matrix** C (\boldsymbol{u}_s) has to be **skew-symmetric**,

$$\mathsf{C}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{s}\right)+\mathsf{C}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{s}\right)=\mathsf{0}$$

... to **preserve** the continuous **invariants** (kinetic energy, enstrophy in 2D and helicity in 3D) in a **discrete sense**.





Choice of the filter

Let us consider a generic linear filter

$$\bar{u}_{\epsilon} = F u_{\epsilon}$$

Then, three basic properties are required for the filter:

$$ar{u}_\epsilon = u_\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

 $(\Omega_s F) = (\Omega_s F)^*$
 $F1 = 1$

 \implies Our discrete filter is a 5-point Gaussian filter.